

Lake Shamineau Lake Improvement District (LSLID)

Meeting of the Lake Improvement District Board

August 16, 2018

LID Attendees: Bob Koll (Chair), Rick Rosar (Vice Chair), Fred Comb (Treasurer), Cindy Kevern (Secretary), Don Ogilvie, Jeff Langan (Houston Engineering), Ted Rud (Houston Engineering), Chuck Ross LSLID member

Absent: None

Location: Teleconference

Welcome/Intro

Bob Koll called to order the Meeting at 10:00 a.m. CST.

1. Chuck Ross

The LSLID Board asked Chuck Ross to join the conference call meeting to discuss his ideas for the high water project and to discuss his request for time on the agenda at the Annual Meeting. Questions had been e-mailed to Chuck prior to the meeting, however Chuck reported that he had not seen them and had misunderstood the intent of the conference call.

Following is information as a result of the discussion:

- Chuck reported that he was working on drafting his ideas but did not yet have anything in writing. He also reported that he has had positive conversations with many that were interested.
- He was interested in several route areas and in discussions with several property owners but would not provide any exact route information.
- Chuck was unsure of costs but believed that it could be up to \$1.5 million depending on engineering and labor. He also suggested that he may be working on private funding.
- In Chuck's discussions with the Widseth Smith Nolting engineering firm he had understood that they would charge \$56,000 for a feasibility study.
- Since Chuck did not want to provide any detailed information during the call, the Board asked Chuck to meet with them the following week at a time convenient for him to discuss more details and review his draft report. The Board also asked Chuck to answer the written questions that had been emailed to him.
- Chuck stated that he hoped for a fair look and consideration of his ideas at the Annual Meeting.

Note: Chuck Ross left the meeting.

2. Route Options-

There was discussion by the Board and Houston of several route options. During the period of developing the feasibility study, it was understood from the DNR that Stanchfield Lake could not be used as a route option. Since it now appears that the feelings of current DNR staff may have changed, all agreed that it would be good to explore these options to determine feasibility and cost.

3. Next Steps-

The Board and Jeff Langan discussed the need to meet with the DNR to talk through the project details and alternatives.

The Board meeting ended at 11:30 a.m.

Respectively submitted by Cindy Kevern.